NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

TECHNICAL NOTE 2876

THE PLANING CHARACTERISTICS OF TWO V-SHAPED PRISMATIC SURFACES HAVING ANGLES OF DEAD RISE OF 20° AND 40°

By Derrill B. Chambliss and George M. Boyd, Jr.

Langley Aeronautical Laboratory Langley Field, Va.



Washington January 1953

> AFM C TECHNIC: L LEGISTIC

AFL 2311.

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS



TECHNICAL NOTE 2876

THE PLANING CHARACTERISTICS OF TWO V-SHAPED PRISMATIC SURFACES HAVING ANGLES OF DEAD RISE OF 20° AND 40°

By Derrill B. Chambliss and George M. Boyd, Jr.

SUMMARY

The principal planing characteristics have been obtained for two V-shaped prismatic surfaces having angles of dead rise of 20° and 40°. The load, wetted lengths, resistance, center-of-pressure location, and limited draft data are presented for speed coefficients up to 25.0. beam-loading coefficients from 0.85 to 87.33, keel-wetted-length-beam ratios up to approximately 8.0, and trims up to 30°. The data indicate that, for a given condition of load, speed, and trim, the wetted length, the distance of the center of pressure from the trailing edge, and the drag increase with an increase in the angle of dead rise.

INTRODUCTION

A general program of research on the planing characteristics of a series of related prismatic surfaces has been undertaken by the National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics and is described in reference 1. The primary objective of this program is an extension of the range of experimental data on planing surfaces to cover the high trims and wetted lengths of interest in the design of high-speed water-based airplanes.

This paper presents the hydrodynamic force data for two V-shaped planing surfaces having angles of dead rise of 20° and 40°. Load, wetted lengths, resistance, center-of-pressure location, and limited draft data are given for speed coefficients up to 25.0, trims up to 300, and wettedlength-beam ratios up to 8.0. Similar data for surfaces having angles of dead rise of 20° and 40° and horizontal chine flare are presented in references 1 and 2.

SYMBOLS

ъ	beam of planing surface, ft
đ	draft at trailing edge (measured vertically from undisturbed water level), ft
g	acceleration due to gravity, 32.2 ft/sec ²
ı _c	chine wetted length, ft
$\iota_{\mathbf{k}}$	keel wetted length, ft
l _m	mean wetted length, $\frac{l_c + l_k}{2}$ for these models, ft
l _p	center-of-pressure location (measured along keel forward of trailing edge of planing surface), $\frac{M}{\Delta \cos \tau + R \sin \tau}$, ft
М	trimming moment about trailing edge of planing surface at keel, ft-lb
Δ	vertical load, 1b
F	friction, parallel to planing surface, lb
R	horizontal resistance, lb
R_e	Reynolds number, $V_m l_m / \nu$
S	principal wetted area (bounded by trailing edge, chines, and heavy spray line) projected on plane parallel to keel, $l_{\rm m}b$, sq ft
$\mathtt{S}_{\mathbf{f}}$	actual wetted area aft of stagnation line, sq ft
V	horizontal velocity, ft/sec
v_m	mean velocity over planing surface, $\sqrt{V^2 \left(1 - \frac{C_{L_b}}{\cos \tau \frac{l_m}{b}}\right)}$
w	specific weight of water, lb/ft ³
$\mathbf{c}_{\!\Delta}$	load coefficient, \triangle/wb^3

$c_{\mathbf{R}}$	resistance coefficient, R/wb ³
$\mathtt{c}_{\mathtt{V}}$	speed coefficient or Froude number, V/\sqrt{gb}
C _f .	skin-friction coefficient, $\frac{F}{\frac{\rho}{2} S_{f} V_{m}^{2}} = \frac{\cos \beta \cos^{2} \tau}{\frac{l_{m}}{b} \cos \tau - C_{L_{b}}} (C_{D_{b}} - C_{L_{b}} \tan \tau)$
$\mathbf{c_{L_b}}$	lift coefficient based on beam, $\frac{\Delta}{\frac{\rho}{2} v^2 b^2} = 2 \frac{c_{\Delta}}{c_V^2}$
c_{D_b}	drag coefficient based on beam, $\frac{R}{\frac{\rho}{2} V^2 b^2} = 2 \frac{C_R}{CV^2}$
$^{\mathrm{C}}\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}}$	lift coefficient based on principal wetted area, $\frac{\Delta}{\frac{\rho}{2} \text{ V}^2 \text{S}} = \frac{c_{L_b}}{l_m/b}$
$\mathtt{C}_{\mathtt{D}_{\mathbf{S}}}$	drag coefficient based on principal wetted area, $\frac{R}{\frac{\rho}{2} \text{ V}^2 \text{S}} = \frac{\text{C}_{\text{Db}}}{l_{\text{m}}/b}$
β	angle of dead rise, deg
ρ	mass density of water, slugs/ft3
τ	trim (angle between keel and horizontal), deg
ν	kinematic viscosity, ft ² /sec

DESCRIPTION OF THE MODELS

The models are simple V-shaped prismatic surfaces having angles of dead rise of 20° and 40°, as shown in figures 1 and 2, respectively. Each model is constructed of brass, has a rectangular plan form and a beam of 4 inches, and is 36 inches long. A detailed description of the construction and finish of the models is presented in reference 1.

APPARATUS AND PROCEDURES

A description of the Langley tank no. 1, the apparatus for towing the model, and the instrumentation for measuring the lift, drag, and trimming moment are given in reference 3, and the general procedure for making the tests is given in reference 1. A diagram of the model and towing gear is presented in figure 3.

The wetted lengths were usually obtained from underwater photographs, and when photographs were not available, visual readings were used. A typical underwater photograph of the V-shaped surface is shown in figure 4. The mean wetted length was taken as the average of the keel and chine wetted lengths. Actually, as can be seen in figure 4, the visible stagnation line appears to be slightly curved so that the actual mean wetted length is slightly greater than the average of the keel and chine wetted lengths. The difference, however, was generally within the precision of measurement and therefore was neglected in the calculation of the mean wetted length and the principal wetted area.

A similar underwater photograph of a surface having an 8-inch beam and a 20° angle of dead rise is shown in figure 5. The wool tufts attached to the bottom of the model show in more detail the change in flow at the visible stagnation line used to define the principal wetted area. Forward of the stagnation line, the flow is seen to be principally in a lateral direction and consists primarily of light spray which contributes little or no lift. Behind this line, the flow is toward the trailing edge with a small lateral component near the chines.

Only a limited number of draft data were obtained since the apparatus, described in reference 2, for measuring the water level was not available during most of the tests.

The aerodynamic tares were held to a minimum by the wind-shielding arrangement described in reference 1. The force data were corrected for any residual tares that were appreciable. The quantities measured are generally believed to be accurate within the following limits:

Load, 1b													•		•		•		•		•	•	±0.15
Trim, deg	•		•	•	•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	±0.10
Speed, ft/sec		•						•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	±0.20
Resistance, lb		•	•				•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	±0.15
Trimming moment, ft-lb	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	±0.50
Wetted length, in																				•	•	•	±0.25

RESULTS

The experimental data for the surface having an angle of dead rise of 20° are presented in table I and those for the surface having an angle of dead rise of 40° , in table II. The load, resistance, speed, wetted lengths, and center-of-pressure location are expressed as conventional

NACA TN 2876 5

nondimensional hydrodynamic coefficients. By following the procedure used in references 1 and 2, the lift and drag coefficients are expressed both in terms of the square of the beam and in terms of the principal wetted area. The draft data are limited in scope and have therefore been omitted from the tables of data. Data for the dry-chine condition were also omitted inasmuch as the precision of the data for this condition became marginal because of the small wetted areas. The nonplaning conditions, those conditions strongly affected by buoyancy, for the surface having a 20° angle of dead rise were not included herein in light of the results of the supplementary low-speed schedule described in reference 1. For the surface having a 40° angle of dead rise, all conditions where buoyancy exceeded 20 percent of the total load (ref. 2) were considered nonplaning and were not included.

Plots of the data are presented in figures 6 to 19. In general, the trends with dead rise are the same as those noted in reference 2. With an increase in the angle of dead rise, the wetted length (or area) required at a given lift coefficient and trim was increased. (See figs. 6 and 7.) The difference between the keel and chine wetted lengths was constant for a given trim for both models (figs. 8 and 9). This difference (fig. 10) was greater for the model with the higher angle of dead rise and showed the same trends as those predicted by the two-dimensional wave-rise theory of Wagner as applied in reference 4. The experimental values are generally lower than those given by theory and the differences are generally greater for the surface having the higher angle of dead rise.

For a given value of C_{Lb} , an increase in angle of dead rise resulted in a forward shift of the center-of-pressure location (figs. 11 and 12). The average ratio of $l_{\mathrm{p}}/l_{\mathrm{m}}$ for each trim is presented in figures 13 and 14. Increasing the angle of dead rise decreases this ratio as can be seen in figure 15 in which the variation of $l_{\mathrm{p}}/l_{\mathrm{m}}$ with trim is shown for both surfaces.

Draft data for the two models are shown in figures 16 and 17 where the measured draft in beams is plotted against that computed from the keel wetted length. The computed draft is defined by $\frac{l_k}{b} \sin \tau$. These data show evidence of pile-up of water at the keel for both models at high trims. The amount of pile-up generally appears to be least for the surface having the higher angle of dead rise.

Figures 18 and 19 present the total drag and the induced drag computed from the lift where the induced drag coefficient is defined by C_{Lb} tan τ . The difference between the measured drag and the induced drag is the friction drag. Comparison of these figures indicates that the increase in angle of dead rise results in an increase in friction

drag for a given lift coefficient because of the greater wetted area. At the higher trims, the friction-drag component is small or negligible as compared with the induced-drag component.

The calculated skin-friction coefficients for trims where the friction is appreciable are plotted against Reynolds number in figures 20 and 21. In calculating the skin-friction coefficients from the test data, the values obtained from faired curves of total drag coefficient (figs. 18 and 19) and the values obtained from faired curves of meanwetted-length—beam ratio (figs. 6 and 7) were used to improve the precision. The grouping of the data with respect to the Schoenherr and Blasius lines suggests that the boundary layer at the higher Reynolds numbers was fully turbulent and that the friction at larger scales may be calculated with reasonable accuracy from the Schoenherr line (ref. 5).

CONCLUDING REMARKS

The effects of an increase in angle of dead rise on the planing characteristics of a prismatic surface are, in general, those that would be expected from a consideration of the change in geometry caused by a change in the angle of dead rise. For a given condition of load, speed, and trim, an increase in angle of dead rise increased the wetted length and hydrodynamic resistance and moved the center-of-pressure location forward. These results are also consistent with those obtained in an investigation of the effects of increasing the angle of dead rise on the planing characteristics of prismatic surfaces having horizontally flared chines (NACA TN's 2804 and 2842).

Langley Aeronautical Laboratory,
National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics,
Langley Field, Va., October 22, 1952.

REFERENCES

- 1. Kapryan, Walter J., and Weinstein, Irving: The Planing Characteristics of a Surface Having a Basic Angle of Dead Rise of 20° and Horizontal Chine Flare. NACA TN 2804, 1952.
- 2. Blanchard, Ulysse J.: The Planing Characteristics of a Surface Having a Basic Angle of Dead Rise of 40° and Horizontal Chine Flare. NACA TN 2842, 1952.
- 3. Truscott, Starr: The Enlarged N.A.C.A. Tank, and Some of Its Work. NACA TM 918, 1939.
- 4. Korvin-Kroukovsky, B. V., Savitsky, Daniel, and Lehman, William F.:
 Wetted Area and Center of Pressure of Planing Surfaces. Preprint
 No. 244, S.M.F. Fund Paper, Inst. Aero. Sci. (Rep. No. 360, Project
 No. NRO62-012, Office Naval Res., Exp. Towing Tank, Stevens Inst.
 Tech., Aug. 1949.)
- 5. Davidson, Kenneth S. M.: Resistance and Powering. Detailed Considerations Skin Friction. Vol. II of Principles of Naval Architecture, ch. II, pt. 2, sec. 7, Henry E. Rossell and Lawrence B. Chapman, eds., Soc. Naval Arch. and Marine Eng., 1939, pp. 76-83.

TABLE I

EXPERIMENTAL DATA OBTAINED FOR A PLANING SURFACE HAVING A 20° ANGLE OF DEAD RISE

LANGLEY TANK MODEL 276

Average kinematic viscosity =10.55 x 10⁻⁶ ft²/sec; specific weight of tank water = 63.4 lb/cu ft

Trim, t, deg	CΔ	cv	c _R	r ^g	r ^p	l _k	ı _p	c ^r P	c _D	c _{Lg}	c _{DS}
\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	57377766666688737373737373737373737373737	????&#################################</td><td>৽ঢ়ঀৡঢ়ৼৢ৸ৡড়ৢৼৢ৻য়৸ড়ৼৼ৸৸ঽঽ৻৽ড়ৼঀঢ়৸ঽৼড়৸৸ড়ৼ৸৸ড়৸৸৸৸৸৸৸৸৸৸৸৸৸৸৸৸৸৸৸৸৸৸৸৸৸৸৸৸</td><td>PRESS PRESS <th</td><td>\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\</td><td>0.850.447050 80.800.800.800.800.800.800.800.800.800.</td><td>924988839999595923633788982229951117257576787418412830865755884537374889735831804473337748897381144433221 2144222 111 152221111 555321444343333444 1111221 3333321</td><td>986530856411886085644493288765308656444932887653086564649328876530865646493288765308656646493288765308656646493288765576876876577865887657786677776862088767776868876777768688767777687777768777777</td><td>0.0073 0.0073 0.0073 0.0073 0.0075</td><td>0.0139.00101</td><td>0.0050 0.0041 0.0042 0.0059 0.0047 0.0044 0.0058 0.0058 0.0057 0.0049 0.0049 0.0049 0.0058 0.0058 0.0058 0.0057 0.0069</td></tr></tbody></table>									

NACA

Trim,	c _V	c [∆]	c _R	ic b	l _m	1k	1p b	c ^{rp}	с _D ь	c^{Γ^2}	c _{DS}
66666666666666666666666666666666666666	10.1177717779999991212121212555533399555577777777777777777777777777	95560?812460556681486174554888588275593883768557875668419545742887375883371449 212121777225577668148667485548858827559388376855787586841954574288732875883371449 2121217772251772257706884357455447701211906699378257787568843757457457468843757883371449	11791119888251079757889474795661101589575565847566584555777777711111	8888888887555908801208891205012087120550285674882 550385859455860203585555555828855120 857	\$25.50 \text{\figures}	0 18 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	6.74556068805371106880578 45488548388998588884844488388888555749850425489868854466	514170%3636%389000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.05081.0513.49.401.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000	90H330024777700H35767H55924773468578799688777564A8180057844H588707797880746847468474084787879797879	0.01765 0.006666 0.00666 0.00666 0.00666 0.00666 0.00666 0.00666 0.00666 0.006666 0.00666 0.00666 0.00666 0.00666 0.00666 0.00666 0.00666 0.006666 0.00666 0.00666 0.00666 0.00666 0.00666 0.00666 0.00666 0.006666 0.00666 0.006666 0.00666 0.006666 0.006666 0.006666 0.006666 0.006666 0.006666 0.006666 0.006666 0.006666 0.006666 0.00666

-NACA_

Trim, t, deg	СД	c _V	c _R	r g	ž _m b	<u>₹</u> k	l p	c _T p	c _D b	c _{Lg}	c _{DS}
	10.665 119.117	9237888910496251516025151602515160251516025555656565656565656565656565656565656	\$166467448977447077164896118980788487894100886854856485788784788557857854844411111118844616644677787878787878787878787878787878787	50 96858555 8888255855885557579551288855 628 175565 62882257855955588 94898 12 525 00 22 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21	6.1.6.7.993993.1.1.5.9.6.39.6.6.39.8.6.4.8.29.7.6.2.6.7.5.5.2.4.7.2.9.7.5.2.2.7.3.2.4.9.2.9.7.5.2.4.7.2.2.4.7.2.9.7.5.2.4.7.2.9.7.5.2.4.7.2.9.7.5.2.4.7.2.9.7.5.2.4.7.2.2.4.7.2.9.7.5.2.4.7.2.9.7.5.2.4.7.2.9.7.5.2.4.7.2.9.7.5.2.4.7.2.2.4.7.2.9.7.5.2.4.7.2.9.7.5.2.4.7.2.9.7.5.2.4.7.2.9.7.5.2.4.7.2.2.4.7.2.9.7.5.2.4.7.2.9.7.5.2.4.7.2.9.7.5.2.4.7.2.9.7.5.2.4.7.2.2.4.7.2.9.7.5.2.4.7.2.9.7.5.2.4.7.2.9.7.5.2.4.7.2.9.7.5.2.4.7.2.2.4.2.2.4.2.2.4.2.2.2.4.2.2.2.2	0.28882251051252888505155057888087575757888882457575758878887555588788825512000624215125488812551252548555558878882551200062421512548881251254885545855558878888255120006242151254888812512548855458555588788882551200062421512548888888888888888888888888888888	30.84.74.330.89.19.856.43.76.44.27.86.558.286.78.30.79.30.86.78.30.86.78.30.86.78.30.86.78.30.86.78.30.86.78.30.86.78.30.86.78.30.80.79.30.80.79.30.80.79.30.80.79.30.70.79.30.79.30.79.30.79.30.79.30.79.30.79.30.79.30.79.30.79.30.79	0.18893204469091882546903288990945588177592594439993284628359454990594455346668932841788646484596694553466684562845648689909455846668456887498887498887498887498784578466684578466684578466684578466684578466684578466684578466684578466887488887488887488887488887488874	0.06174 0.0274 0.1730 0.1334 0.1334 0.0270 0.08210 0.0	2788899728899135519688189831502504842587242855542438555518805285797325564500744310995958989793	0.19575788983717343340677733939739766724570755788983777339801425739889817077339893397667874700000000000000000000000000000000

-NACA_

Trim, _{\tau} , deg	С _Д	c ^A	c _R	l _c b	φ p	lk b	1 p	c^{P}	c _D b	c _r g	c _{D8}
ନ୍ୟରନ୍ୟର୍ୟର୍ୟର୍ୟର୍ୟର୍ୟର୍ୟର୍ୟର୍ୟର୍ୟର୍ୟର୍ୟର୍ୟର୍	10.655 10.10.17 10	11.19 16.26 8,72 9.76 12.47 17.48 15.10 17.735 25.29 12.13,10 15.13 20.11 20.13 24.861 16.20 20.28 25.22	6.10 5.87 11.18 10.97 11.12 10.83 10.58 15.75 15.869 15.862 20.97 20.29 20.65 20.97 20.29 20.63 30.63 30.555 30.555 30.555	1.30 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.5	0.39 1.36406657819561421664311995851391439991199645653931	0.45 1.420 1.10258 1.407	6 1866 33BB 3984 21 4 25 5 4 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	0.1701 .0806 .5042 .4075 .4025 .4025 .2429 .1758 .1215 .0865 .3176 .3164 .3114 .1810 .1790 .1777 .1186 .1172 .4989 .4058 .3180 .1807 .1674	0.0974 .0444 .2940 .2350 .1395 .0696 .0444 .1380 .1005 .0689 .0487 .2810 .1820 .1830 .1778 .1030 .1035 .0667 .2340 .1388 .1030 .1388 .1030 .1388 .1030	0.436 -3712 -3712 -380 -44234 -4729 -4234 -5234	0.2497 21622 2240 2217 24486 2337 24486 24387 2454 2454 2454 2454 2454 2454 2454 245



TABLE II

EXPERIMENTAL DATA OBTAINED FOR A PLANING SURFACE HAVING A 40° ANGLE OF DEAD RISE

LANGLEY TANK MODEL 277

Average kinematic viscosity = 11.60 x 10⁻⁶ ft²/sec; specific weight of tank water = 63.4 lb/cu ft

<u></u>											
Trim,	c ^V	c ^A	c _R	l _G	l _m	l _k	1 p	c ^r p	c _D _P	c_{L_S}	c _{DS}
######################################	0 24 6 6 6 6 6 6 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	4.67.19480.399.130.65.997.7382.751.16.70.74.99.12.12.61.61.97.57.98.97.88.751.20.65.99.70.16.50.77.82.75.12.61.61.97.75.88.97.88.751.75.78.97.79.89.77.12.12.12.12.12.12.12.12.12.12.12.12.12.	94389502453953595888 11142534588439801453801453167284768185935555555555555555555555555555555555	0.575788 17887625688575757 8888757676757567617575818875812785757859288808158768788788788788788788788788788788788788	628.004.0 00.08.76.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00	53875121 17500128087515757073127570028283505584 1088458367375742559590700000055730955008	1219773866174874885117 1519977388474556006687768800666877689668478835586788877 1719769	74 774 794 754 794 794 794 794 794 794 794 794 794 79	0.02559 0.02559 0.02559 0.02559 0.02559 0.02559 0.02559 0.02555 0.02555 0.02555 0.02555 0.02555 0.02555 0.02555 0.02555 0.02555 0.02555 0.0255	0.0123 .0098 .0121 .0127 .0112 .0106 .0108 .0108 .0108 .0110 .0110 .0110 .0110 .0110 .0110 .0294 .0248 .0211 .0280 .0294 .0206 .0236 .0206 .0206 .0206 .0207 .0208 .0207 .0208 .0207 .0208 .0207 .0208 .0207 .0208 .0208 .0207 .0208 .0207 .0208	0.0062 .0056 .0067 .0067 .0067 .0057 .0057 .0057 .0057 .0057 .0057 .0057 .0057 .0057 .0057 .0057 .0057 .0057 .0057 .0057 .0067

NACA ___

-NACA

TABLE II - Continued

EXPERIMENTAL DATA OBTAINED FOR A PLANING SURFACE HAVING A 40° ANGLE OF DEAD RISE

LANGLEY TANK MODEL 277

Trim, t, deg	cΔ	c ^A	c _R	i _c	i _m	$\frac{\imath_{k}}{b}$	i _p	c ^{rp}	c _D _b	c _{Lg}	c _{DS}
त्यंत्रं त्यंत्रं त्यंत्यंत्यंत्यंत्यंत्यंत्यंत्यंत्यंत्यं	10.655 10	539778375704475686450691455869718847258858488844884556185888445875888975586588445557876886198 679106891417111157714441566867258858488488455618588844587589999999999999999999999999999	00000148038805600000898470386888685538888888888888888888888888888	48556 5920558105585125648555858584865558588808555551889855581889855888887558 9758	221 221111 533967553874289875702911048187081757070511818254987554808554875598758782974554898757070511111111111111111111111111111111	86.2285.669.8447825.63878555.659.8558.8888.8588.8588.8888.8888.8	60000000000000000000000000000000000000	958886677885668857885698989886457788698878788878788787554875111111111111111111	0.2857626442991433463288602886627079579661228860257664439662278866227866439662278664396622786643966227866439662278664396622786643966227866439662278664396643966439664396643966439664396643	0.1855/4824/4665/1956/48224/495/4824/495/495/5/195/4824/495/495/5/195/4824/495/495/5/195/4824/495/5/195/5/195/4824/495/5/19	0.0849 0190 0090 0090 0090 0090 0090 0090 00

NACA


TABLE II - Concluded


EXPERIMENTAL DATA OBTAINED FOR A PLANING SURFACE HAVING A 40° ANGLE OF DEAD RISE


LANGLEY TANK MODEL 277

Trim, T, deg	c_{Δ}	c^∆	c _R	r c	i _m	ik b	l'p	c^{Γ^p}	. c _D	c _L s	c _{Dg}
នុន្តន្តន្តន្តន្តន្តន្តន្តន្តន្តន្តន្តន្តន	27-69-21-11-11-11-11-11-11-15-55-55-55-57-7-7-7-	21.35 24.49 12.11 12.14 15.07 17.25 17.25 17.25 17.25 17.25 14.25	5538545853542125588485588758385858585 666431111111111111111111111111111111111	0 318 25 58 05 100 225 520 25 52 20 20 58 20 00 29 55 20 20 58 20 00 29 55 20 20 58 20 20 20 58 20 20 20 58 20 20 20 58 20 20 20 58 20 20 20 58 20 20 20 58 20 20 20 20 58 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	0 531325004665558002992028857750477459111098883	0 . 75.75.1218.89 0 . 82.50.88.75.24.20.24.50.80.80.80.80.80.80.80.80.80.80.80.80.80	0.24 220 1.17 1.14 699 238 1.52 1.987 1.77 2.54 2.23 3.30 1.27 2.23 3.30 1.27 1.23 3.30 1.22 1.23 3.30 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23	0.121248 1212488 12124888 12139488 1214888 1214888 1214888 1214888 1214894 121489 1214	0.0732 .0716 .0716 .0716 .2934 .2925 .2839 .1840 .1040 .0730 .0680 .2866 .2333 .1441 .0979 .1607 .1599 .1327 .1599 .1327 .1948 .2934 .2934 .2934 .2934 .2934 .2934 .2148	0.2480 3919 2888 2469 2469 24567 275	0.1464 0.1700 H 1.1462 H 1.1462 H 1.1462 H 1.1572 H 1.1573 H 1.1574 H 1.1574 H 1.1574 H 1.1574 H 1.1574 H 1.1574 H 1.1574 H 1.1574 H 1.1574 H 1.1575 H 1.157












Figure 2.- Sketch and cross section of Langley tank model 277, 40° angle of dead rise.




Figure 3.- Setup of model and towing gear.




Figure 4.- Typical underwater photograph.




Figure 5.- Typical flow pattern for a V-shaped surface having a $20^{\rm O}$ angle of dead rise.




Figure 6.- Variation of mean-wetted-length—beam ratio with lift coefficient for surface having a 20° angle of dead rise.




Figure 7.- Variation of mean-wetted-length—beam ratio with lift coefficient for surface having a 40° angle of dead rise.




Figure 8.- Variation of chine-wetted-length—beam ratio with keel-wetted-length—beam ratio for surface having a 20° angle of dead rise.




Figure 9.- Variation of chine-wetted-length—beam ratio with keel-wetted-length—beam ratio for surface having a 40° angle of dead rise.




Figure 10.- Variation of $\frac{l_k - l_c}{b}$ with trim.




Figure 11.- Variation of center-of-pressure location with lift coefficient for surface having a 20° angle of dead rise.




Figure 12.- Variation of center-of-pressure location with lift coefficient for surface having a $40^{\rm O}$ angle of dead rise.




Figure 13.- Variation of l_p/b with l_m/b for surface having a 20° angle of dead rise.




Figure 14.- Variation of l_p/b with l_m/b for surface having a 40° angle of dead rise.




Figure 15.- Comparison of variation of $l_{\rm p}/l_{\rm m}$ with trim for surfaces having 20° and 40° angles of dead rise.




Figure 16.- Comparison of experimental draft data with computed draft data showing pile-up at the keel for surface having a 20° angle of dead rise.






Figure 17.- Comparison of experimental draft data with computed draft data showing pile-up at the keel for surface having a 40° angle of dead rise.




(a) Trim, 2°, 4°, and 6°.

Figure 18.- Variation of drag coefficient with lift coefficient for surface having a 20° angle of dead rise.




(b) Trim, 12° , 18° , 24° , and 30° .

Figure 18.- Concluded.



(a) Trim, 4° and 6° .

Figure 19.- Variation of drag coefficient with lift coefficient for surface having a $^{1}\!\!40^{\circ}$ angle of dead rise.



(b) Trim, 12° , 18° , 24° , and 30° .

Figure 19.- Concluded.




Figure 20.- Variation of friction coefficient with Reynolds number for surface having a 20° angle of dead rise.




Figure 21.- Variation of friction coefficient with Reynolds number for surface having a 40° angle of dead rise.

NACA-Langley - 1-27-53 - 10